RESEARCH

Open Access

Skin advanced glycation end-products as indicators of the metabolic profile in diabetes mellitus: correlations with glycemic control, liver phenotypes and metabolic biomarkers



Grigorios Christidis^{1,4}, Frederic Küppers¹, Senem Ceren Karatayli¹, Ersin Karatayli¹, Susanne N. Weber¹, Frank Lammert^{1,2} and Marcin Krawczyk^{1,3*}

Abstract

Introduction The production of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) is a key pathomechanism related to the complications of diabetes mellitus. The measurement of HbA1c as one of the AGEs is widely used in the clinic, but also other proteins undergo glycation in the course of diabetes. Here, we measure skin AGEs (SAGEs) in patients with diabetes type 1 (DM1) and type 2 (DM2) and correlate them with metabolic markers as well as non-invasively measured liver fibrosis and steatosis.

Patients and methods In this cross-sectional study, a total of 64 patients with either DM1 or DM2 and 28 healthy controls were recruited. SAGEs were measured using autofluorescence (AGE Reader). Liver fibrosis and steatosis were quantified using transient elastography, which determines liver stiffness measurement (LSM) and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP). FGF19, FGF21 and GDF-15 were measured in blood samples using ELISA.

Results SAGEs were elevated in both groups of patients with diabetes as compared to healthy controls (both p < 0.001) and were higher in patients with DM2 in comparison to DM1 (p = 0.006). SAGEs correlated positively with HbA1c (r = 0.404, p < 0.001), CAP (r = 0.260, p = 0.016) and LSM (r = 0.356, p < 0.001), and negatively with insulin growth factor binding protein 3 (p < 0.001). We also detected a positive correlation between GDF15 and SAGEs (r = 0.469, p < 0.001).

Conclusions SAGEs are significantly elevated in patients with both DM types 1 and 2 and correlate with metabolic markers, including HbA1c and GDF15. They might also help to detect patients with advanced liver injury in the setting of diabetes.

Keywords AGE, Diabetes, FGF, GDF15, Liver fibrosis

*Correspondence: Marcin Krawczyk marcin.krawczyk@uks.eu ¹Department of Medicine II, Saarland University Medical Center, Saarland University, Kirrberger Str. 100, 66421 Homburg, Germany



 ²Hannover Medical School (MHH), Hannover, Germany
 ³Laboratory of Metabolic Liver Diseases, Center for Preclinical Research, Department of General, Transplant and Liver Surgery, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
 ⁴Endokrinologikum Ulm, Ulm, Germany

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

BMC Endocrine Disorders

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a global health concern due to its high prevalence and associated organ complications [1-2]. Whereas hyperglycemia is the hallmark of all diabetes subtypes, the disease progression and complications result from the joint disruption of insulin's metabolic and hormonal functions, affecting lipid and protein metabolism as well [3-4].

Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is the gold standard for the diagnosis of diabetes and treatment monitoring [5]. However, non-enzymatic glycosylation induced by hyperglycemia can impact a multitude of proteins, lipids, and even nucleic acids [6]. These glycated end-products, collectively referred to as advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of diabetes, contributing significantly to target organ damage [7]. The post-translational modification of affected proteins involves two successive steps, beginning with the formation of an aldimine (Schiff's base) via the binding of glucose carbonyl groups to lysine residues' amino groups [8]; subsequently, this aldimine undergoes an isomerization

 Table 1
 Basic characteristics of patients with diabetes and controls included in the study

Variable	Controls (n=28)	Patients with diabetes mel- litus type 1 (n=30)	Patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 (n = 34)
Age (years)	36.8 (+/- 13.2)	47.5 (+/- 14.4)	62.4 (+/- 11.9)
Males (%)	8 (28.5%)	12 (40%)	20 (58.8%)
BMI (kg/m²)	27.7 (+/- 6.4)	27.8 (+/- 5.5)	36.0 (+/- 10.3)
SAGEs	1.58 (+/- 0.43)	2.11 (+/- 0.52)	2.53 (+/- 0.65)
HbA1c (%)	5.33 (+/- 0.35)	8.10 (+/- 1.17)	7.42 (+/- 1.83)
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	181.7 (+/- 45.2)	179.7 (+/- 33.6)	164.4 (+/- 40.1)
LDL (mg/dl)	117.5 (+/- 33.2)	107.9 (+/- 28.8)	95.2 (+/- 36.4)
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	109.2 (+/- 78.3)	106.8 (+/- 79.0)	159.7 (+/- 113.1)
CAP (dB/m)*	251.5 (+/- 69.6)	240.4 (+/- 62.9)	309.4 (+/- 65.1)
LSM (kPa)*	5.9 (+/- 1.9)	4.7 (+/- 1.4)	9.7 (+/- 5.3)
FGF19 (pg/ml)	179.01 (+/- 116.1)	181.7 (+/- 88.7)	123.1 (+/- 86.1)
FGF21(pg/ml)	235.6 (+/- 322.4)	236.7 (+/- 369.7)	390.4 (+/- 394.7)
GDF15 (pg/ml)	441.7 (+/- 335.2)	1005.4 (+/- 996.9)	1840.2 (+/- 1140.8)
IGF1 (ng/ml)	146.4 (+/- 48.4)	111.6 (+/- 39.9)	120.65 (+/- 43.5)
IGFBP3 (µg/ml)	5.48 (+/- 0.9)	4.1 (+/- 1.2)	4.08 (+/- 1.3)

All results are presented as mean (+/-SD), unless stated otherwise

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index, CAP: controlled attenuation parameter, dB/m: decibel/meter, FGF19: fibroblast growth factor 19, FGF21: fibroblast growth factor 21, GDF15: growth differentiation factor 15, HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin cluster 1c, IGF1: insulin like growth factor 1, IGFBP3: insulin like growth factor binding protein 3, kPa: kilopascal, LDL: low density lipoprotein, LSM: liver stiffness measurement SAGEs: skin advanced glycation endproducts

*LSM and CAP available for 85 individuals

process known as the Amadori rearrangement, yielding a stable ketoamine [9].

Skin AGEs (SAGEs), assessed non-invasively through ultraviolet-induced autofluorescence, hold promise as indicators of glycemic burden and diabetes-related complications [10]. Research has linked SAGE levels, measured via autofluorescence, to kidney and cardiovascular diseases, vascular complications, retinopathy, mortality, and diabetes risk prediction in susceptible individuals [11–15]. The method has been validated in skin biopsybased studies in healthy subjects, patients with diabetes and patients with end-stage renal disease [10, 16-17]. However, broader clinical use is hindered by research limitations and knowledge gaps [10], and whether SAGEs and non-enzymatic glycosylation represent unique diabetes features remains unclear. Elevated skin autofluorescence has also been observed in patients with cirrhosis, suggesting AGEs' potential role in fibrosis progression, possibly mediated through reactive oxygen species (ROS) induction [18–19].

In recent years, two members of the endocrine fibroblast growth factor (FGF) subfamily, namely FGF19 [20] and FGF21 [21], have been shown to be involved in metabolic disorders. FGF19, an enterokine, participates in the regulation of bile acid synthesis and enterohepatic circulation [22]. FGF21, a hepatokine, is involved in carbohydrate and fatty acid metabolism [23] and has been postulated to possess hepatoprotective properties [24]. Additionally, the growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) has emerged as a central metabolic biomarker, which is associated with multiple disorders, including cancer [25], cardiovascular diseases [26], and diabetes [27], and has been proposed as a marker for increased mortality risk [28]. Notably, two of these proteins, FGF21 and GDF15, are implicated in energy homeostasis [23] and the pathogenesis of obesity [29], a fact positioning them as potential pharmacological targets [29].

Here we examined SAGE levels in patients with DM1 or DM2, as well as in healthy controls. We aimed to explore potential correlations between SAGE levels and various metabolic markers, non-invasively assessed indicators of liver fibrosis and steatosis, along with serum concentrations of FGF19, FGF21, and GDF15. Specifically, we investigated the connections between SAGEs and liver conditions in the context of diabetes, considering the common occurrence of metabolic dysfunction and liver injury in individuals with diabetes.

Patients and methods

Study cohorts

All patients were prospectively recruited from April 2019 to September 2020 in the endocrinological outpatient clinic of the Saarland University Hospital. Overall, 92 subjects were included. Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the study cohorts. In total, we recruited 30 patients with DM1, 34 patients with DM2, and 28 healthy subjects as controls. The average duration of diabetes since initial diagnosis was 9.7 years (\pm 4.4) in the cohort of individuals with DM2 and 24.7 years (\pm 9.9) in the cohort comprising patients with DM1. All participants were Caucasians and white skinned, without any known dermatological diseases. Blood sampling and laboratory tests were performed in fasted individuals. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of Ärztekammer Saarland (approval number 84/19). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All recruited subjects provided signed informed consent to participate in the study.

Measurements of skin AGEs (SAGEs)

The measurement of SAGEs was performed using the AGE Reader (Diagnoptics, Groningen, Netherlands). The AGE Reader allows the non-invasive measurement of SAGEs based on their divergent fluorescence characteristics [30]. Autofluorescence is the natural phenomenon of emission of light by a structure, after an initial phase of light absorption. The values of the measured AGEs were adjusted with reference values defined for the respective age groups of the patients provided by the manufacturer. In brief, the measurements are performed on dry and clean skin of the patient's forearm without optical contamination such as tattoos. The measurement lasts approximately 12 s.

Quantification of liver fibrosis and steatosis by liver stiffness measurements (LSM)

Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) was performed using FibroScan[®] (EchoSens, Paris, France). Transient elastography (TE) provides liver stiffness measurement of liver parenchyma based on the physical properties of fibrotic tissues visualized by conventional ultrasound technique. Together with LSM, quantification of liver steatosis using controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) was performed. LSM and CAP median values were obtained based on at least 10 valid measurements. All measurements were considered as valid when the IQR/median ratio was below 0.3.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA)

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) was performed with the medical body composition analyzer (mBCA 515, Seca, Hamburg, Germany). The fundamental of the bioimpedance analysis is that different biological tissues have different electrical properties. Thus, the distinct impedance of a biological structure, meaning the impediment to the flow of an electrical current, can provide information for the tissues and fluids, which it consists of [31].

Measurements of serum FGF19, FGF21 and GDF15

The measurements of FGF19, FGF21 and GDF15 in sera of fasted patients were performed using human FGF19, human FGF21 and human GDF15 Quantikine ELISA kits by R&D Systems (Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Measurements of hormone concentrations

Fasting venous serum and plasma samples from all patients were collected between 08:00–10:00 am in order to avoid circadian fluctuations, followed by hormone measurements of cortisol, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), free triio-dothyronine (fT3) and tetraiodothyronine (fT4), insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF1), and insulin growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP3). For all subjects, glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride, low density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density Lipoprotein (HDL) blood levels were also determined.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 28.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). The distribution of data was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. One-way ANOVA test was used for normally distributed variables, and the Kruskal–Wallis test was applied to parameters with non-parametric distribution. A post hoc-test with Bonferroni correction was performed, if significant differences between the groups were found. Two normally distributed variables were compared with Student's *t*-test. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to detect bivariate correlations. Data were reported as mean and SD or median and range. P-values<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Levels of SAGEs in patients with diabetes mellitus

Significantly higher SAGEs were detected in both groups of patients with DM as compared to healthy controls (both p < 0.001; Fig. 1). As illustrated in Fig. 1, SAGEs were significantly (p=0.006) higher in patients with DM2 as compared to those with DM1. This difference could mirror the younger age of the latter group (Table 1). Since the reference values of AGEs could depend on the age of the examined person, we examined the proportion of the subjects in each group with SAGE values within the ageadjusted reference values. This fraction was 78.6% in the control group, 53.3% in the group with DM type 1 and 47.1% in the group with DM type 2, consistent with a significant (p=0.001) difference between the groups (Fig. 2). Moreover, we detected a positive correlation of measured SAGEs with HbA1c, independently of the diabetes type (r=0.404, p<0.001; Fig. 3).



Fig. 1 Skin AGEs in patients with diabetes and healthy subjects



Fig. 2 Number of individuals with normal and elevated age-adjusted SAGE values among healthy subjects, patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 and with diabetes mellitus type 2



Fig. 3 Correlation between skin AGEs and HbA1c in patients with diabetes type 1 and 2

SAGEs correlate with liver stiffness in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2

Patients with DM2 had the highest BMI among the three study groups (p < 0.01). The muscle mass was lower in the DM2 group in comparison with the two other groups, although not statistically significantly between DM1 and DM2 (DM2 vs. control group p=0.026; DM2 vs. DM1 p=0.132). Patients with DM2 presented with most advanced liver steatosis and fibrosis, as reflected by the highest CAP and LSM values, respectively. Of note, we did not detect any difference between patients with DM type 1 and controls in terms of BMI, CAP, or LSM.

As presented in Fig. 4, SAGEs correlated with both LSM (r=0.356, p<0.001), and CAP values (r=0.260, p=0.016) in the entire study cohort. In total, 39% of recruited individuals showed CAP values greater than 288 dB/m, which is suggestive of fatty liver [32]. We detected a trend (p=0.05) towards higher SAGE levels in this group as compared to individuals with CAP values below the cut-off.

We detected significant correlations of SAGEs with BMI (r=0.299, p=0.04) and fat mass (r=0.259, p=0.014), but not with muscle mass (p=0.59). Of note, SAGEs correlated negatively (p<0.001) with IGFBP3 serum concentrations. (Fig. 5). IGFBP3 levels were significantly (r=-0.461, p<0.001) decreased in both groups of patients with DM, as compared to the control group. There was no significant correlations between SAGEs and lipid levels, ACTH, cortisol, TSH, fT3 and fT4 or activities of ALT and AST.

FGF19, FGF21 and GDF15 levels in patients with different types of diabetes and their correlation with SAGEs

We observed a significant elevation of GDF 15 in the group of patients with DM1 and DM2, as compared

with healthy controls (p=0.007 and p<0.001, respectively), and GDF15 levels correlated significantly with SAGEs (r=0.469, p<0.001). FGF19 serum levels were significantly (p=0.012) lower in patients with DM type 2 as compared with DM type 1. In the case of FGF21, we observed a significant elevation in the DM type 2 group, as compared with DM type 1 and the healthy control group (p=0.003 and p=0.012, respectively). Furthermore, we ascertained a positive correlation between the serum levels of FGF21 and CAP values (r=0.352, p<0.001) (Fig. 6), indicating that fat accumulation in the liver induces the production of this hepatokine. On the other hand, we did neither detect any significant correlations between SAGEs and FGF19 nor FGF21 (all p>0.05).

Discussion

In the current study, we combined non-invasive quantification of advanced glycation end-products in skin with measurements of liver stiffness and steatosis as well as select serum hepatokines in patients with diabetes. First of all, we showed that SAGEs correlate with liver stiffness both in patients with diabetes and in normoglycemic individuals. Our data replicate the previously suggested correlation between SAGEs and HbA1c [33]. More crucially, we were also able to find consistent correlations between the increased levels of GDF15 and the measured skin AGEs.

Although liver biopsy is commonly regarded as the gold-standard method to quantify liver fibrosis, transient elastography has gained its place as a valid, non-invasive method that allows measurements of liver scarring and steatosis. Our study reproduces the correlation between liver stiffness and AGEs from the previous studies [34–38] but extends the correlation to skin AGEs specifically to individuals with diabetes type 1 and 2. This correlation



Fig. 4 Correlation between skin AGEs, liver stiffness measurement (LSM) (A) and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) (B)

seems to be independent of HbA1c, given that a significant correlation between HbA1c and LSM was not observed. Of note, SAGEs did not correlate with the noninvasively quantified hepatic fat in our patients with fatty liver disease, although a trend was detected. Of note, there was a significant correlation of SAGEs with CAP values, when all measurements were included and the entire cohort was analysed. This finding is consistent with the previously published data in patients with fatty liver from Brazil where hepatic steatosis was quantified using abdominal ultrasound [34]. The authors of that study demonstrated a continuous elevation of AGEs in serum autofluorescence from the early stage of fat accumulation in the liver [34]. We reckon that larger cohorts of patients with CAP-based measurements of liver fat contents might be required to further investigate the association between hepatic steatosis and SAGEs. We nevertheless detected a significant correlation with BMI and fat mass in the bioimpedance analysis, which further indicates that obesity or excess fat tissues could significantly influence the process of advanced glycation in the skin.

We analysed correlations between skin AGEs and the endocrine fibroblast growth factors with pivotal metabolic role, FGF19 [22] and FGF21 [23] and GDF 15, which is also considered to be a biomarker induced mainly under metabolic distress, stress conditions or inflammatory processes [39]. We found a progressive elevation of GDF15 between the three groups: the control group had the lowest blood levels of GDF15, patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 significantly elevated levels as compared to the control group and patients with diabetes type 2 showed the highest serum GDF15 as compared to both groups. These findings are in accordance with previous published data which show increases in circulating



Fig. 5 Negative correlation between skin AGEs and insulin growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP3)



Fig. 6 Correlation between FGF21 and liver steatosis measured by controlled attention parameter (CAP)

GDF15 in patients with DM2 [40] and that even the effect of metformin in this group of patients could be at least partly induced via GDF15 signalling [41]. Serum GDF15 correlated with the skin AGEs. Collectively, these findings enhance the notion, that the detection of increased SAGEs indicates established advanced metabolic alterations, especially in the context of diabetes mellitus type 2 and metabolic syndrome. We did not detect a significant association between SAGEs and FGF21. However, the elevation of circulating FGF21 in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 compared with the two other groups is consistent with previous data [23]. However, it remains unclear whether this elevation is due to the beneficial metabolic role of FGF21 in the setting of diabetes and obesity or represents a resistance state in this context. Overall, we can assume that skin autofluorescence not only successfully detects the presence of diabetes mellitus and its glycaemic and metabolic alterations but is also associated with circulating biomarkers, in our study GDF15, the circulating levels of which have been associated in previous studies with the severity of diabetes and the presence of potential diabetic complications [42–43].

The last finding of unclear significance is the negative association of SAGEs with IGFBP3. In our study, serum

IGFBP3 was significantly reduced in both cohorts of diabetic patients. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous findings in the literature which associate the IGFBP3 with elevated AGEs in the skin autofluorescence. There are though some data indicating that increased levels of IGFBP3 are associated with diabetic cardiomyopathy and that suppression of IGFBP3 is associated with improved cardiovascular health [44]. More interesting is the fact that elevated levels of IGFBP 3 are consistently associated with some types of cancers, especially breast, ovarian, colorectal, lung and brain cancers [45–50]. Our observations concerning IGFBP3 are rather unexpected and mandate further investigation.

Our study has certain limitations. First of all, the size of our cohorts is relatively small. Secondly there are many different groups of SAGEs, some of them nonfluorescent, which cannot be quantified or differentiated from the existing devices. We conducted the study in whiteskinned Caucasians with non-previously diagnosed skin disease and tattoos. So, it remains unclear, if race and skin pathologies could influence or alter the above-mentioned results. It is impossible to adjust our result to the current medication of the patients, especially those with diabetes type 2. It is consequently difficult to estimate potential direct or indirect influences of medicines intake on SAGEs. We analyzed associations between SAGEs and liver fibrosis and steatosis based on non-invasive transient elastography. Although liver biopsy-based histopathology is regarded as the gold-standard method of determining status of hepatic health and disease, we do not have histopathology data on any of the patients in this study. We also do not have full data on biochemical indicators of liver fibrosis (e.g., FIB-4 or ELF) in recruited patients or controls. Finally, our primary research emphasis was directed towards skin AGEs. Consequently, we did not concurrently assess serum AGE levels, thereby precluding us from drawing definitive correlations between these two variables.

Overall, our study provides compelling evidence that SAGEs correlate with stages of liver fibrosis, quantified via transient elastography, serum HbA1c and GDF15, which is a biomarker of advanced metabolic derangements. Measurement of skin AGEs via skin autofluorescence is a feasible, practical, reliable and cost-effective method to assess the presence of diabetes mellitus and associated metabolic complications and liver pathology. We reckon that SAGEs might be used in the future to detect patients with diabetes in the general population and patients with liver injury in the diabetic population. In this context, it would be interesting to further investigate potential associations between SAGEs and insulin resistance, even in the absence of manifest diabetes mellitus. Measurements of the skin autofluorescence in the routine clinical praxis could add further valuable information to the clinical and biochemical assessment of patients with diabetes mellitus and predict the risk of cardiovascular, metabolic, inflammatory and even malignant diseases. These preliminary observations will however require replications in larger groups of normoglycemic and diabetic individuals.

Abbreviations

ACTH	adrenocorticotropic hormone
AGE	advanced glycation endproduct
BIA	bioelectrical impedance analysis
CAP	controlled attenuation parameter
DM	diabetes mellitus
FGF	fibroblast growth factor
GDF	growth differentiation factor
HbA1c	glycated hemoglobin A1c
HDL	high density lipoprotein
IGF	insulin-like growth factor
IGFBP	insulin-like growth factor binding protein
IQR	interquartile range
LDL	low density lipoprotein
LSM	liver stiffness measurement
SAGE	skin advanced glycation endproduct
TE	transient elastography
TSH	thyroid stimulating hormone

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

GC wrote the manuscript of this study and supervised the data analysis. MK performed the corrections and supervised the data analysis and interpretation. FK collected and assembled the data and performed the data analysis and interpretation. FL, GC, FK and MK contributed to the conceptualization of the study. SCK, EK and SNW contributed significantly to the manuscript preparation, revision and constructive discussions. All authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availability

The datasets used or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of Ärztekammer Saarland (approval number 84/19). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All recruited subjects provided signed informed consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 13 April 2023 / Accepted: 20 February 2024 Published online: 05 March 2024

References

- Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Magliano DJ, Bennett PH. Diabetes mellitus statistics on prevalence and mortality: facts and fallacies. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2016;12(10):616–22.
- Skyler JS, Bakris GL, Bonifacio E, Darsow T, Eckel RH, Groop L, et al. Differentiation of diabetes by Pathophysiology, Natural History, and prognosis. Diabetes. 2017;66(2):241–55.
- Athyros VG, Doumas M, Imprialos KP, Stavropoulos K, Georgianou E, Katsimardou A, et al. Diabetes and lipid metabolism. Horm (Athens). 2018;17(1):61–7.
- Yang Q, Vijayakumar A, Kahn BB. Metabolites as regulators of insulin sensitivity and metabolism. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19(10):654–72.
- American Diabetes Association Professional Practice C. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. Diabetes Care. 2022;45(Suppl 1):17–S38.
- Aragno M, Mastrocola R. Dietary sugars and endogenous formation of Advanced Glycation endproducts: emerging mechanisms of Disease. Nutrients. 2017;9(4).
- Singh R, Barden A, Mori T, Beilin L. Advanced glycation end-products: a review. Diabetologia. 2001;44(2):129–46.
- Choudhary MI, Abbas G, Ali S, Shuja S, Khalid N, Khan KM, et al. Substituted benzenediol Schiff bases as promising new anti-glycation agents. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2011;26(1):98–103.
- Ansari NA, Dash D. Amadori glycated proteins: role in production of autoantibodies in diabetes mellitus and effect of inhibitors on non-enzymatic glycation. Aging Dis. 2013;4(1):50–6.
- Da Moura Semedo C, Webb M, Waller H, Khunti K, Davies M. Skin autofluorescence, a non-invasive marker of advanced glycation end products: clinical relevance and limitations. Postgrad Med J. 2017;93(1099):289–94.
- 11. Jin Q, Lau ES, Luk AO, Ozaki R, Chow EY, So T, et al. Skin autofluorescence is associated with progression of kidney disease in type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study from the Hong Kong diabetes biobank. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2022;32(2):436–46.
- van Waateringe RP, Fokkens BT, Slagter SN, van der Klauw MM, van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Graaff R, et al. Skin autofluorescence predicts incident type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and mortality in the general population. Diabetologia. 2019;62(2):269–80.
- Osawa S, Katakami N, Sato I, Ninomiya H, Omori K, Yamamoto Y, et al. Skin autofluorescence is associated with vascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications. 2018;32(9):839–44.
- Yasuda M, Shimura M, Kunikata H, Kanazawa H, Yasuda K, Tanaka Y, et al. Relationship of skin autofluorescence to severity of retinopathy in type 2 diabetes. Curr Eye Res. 2015;40(3):338–45.
- Larroumet A, Foussard N, Majchrzak C, Monlun M, Blanco L, Mohammedi K, et al. Skin autofluorescence predicts mortality in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Metab. 2022;48(2):101273.
- Meerwaldt R, Hartog JW, Graaff R, Huisman RJ, Links TP, den Hollander NC, et al. Skin autofluorescence, a measure of cumulative metabolic stress and advanced glycation end products, predicts mortality in hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005;16(12):3687–93.
- Meerwaldt R, Graaff R, Oomen PHN, Links TP, Jager JJ, Alderson NL, et al. Simple non-invasive assessment of advanced glycation endproduct accumulation. Diabetologia. 2004;47(7):1324–30.
- Maury E, Vergniol J, Ledinghen V, Rigalleau V. Skin autofluorescence is high in patients with cirrhosis - further arguing for the implication of Advanced Glycation End products. J Hepatol. 2011;54(5):1079–80.
- Dai J, Chen H, Chai Y. Advanced Glycation End products (AGEs) induce apoptosis of fibroblasts by activation of NLRP3 inflammasome via reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling pathway. Med Sci Monit. 2019;25:7499–508.
- Razmi H, Tarighat-Esfanjani A, Payahoo L, Mobasseri M, Amirpour M, Mirzaei E, et al. Relationship between the levels of serum fibroblast growth factor 19 and metabolic factors in obese and normal weight subjects with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case-control study. Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig. 2021;42(1):11–7.
- 21. Geng L, Lam KSL, Xu A. The therapeutic potential of FGF21 in metabolic diseases: from bench to clinic. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020;16(11):654–67.
- Kliewer SA, Mangelsdorf DJ. Bile acids as hormones: the FXR-FGF15/19 pathway. Dig Dis. 2015;33(3):327–31.
- Fisher FM, Maratos-Flier E. Understanding the physiology of FGF21. Annu Rev Physiol. 2016;78:223–41.
- 24. Shan Z, Alvarez-Sola G, Uriarte I, Arechederra M, Fernandez-Barrena MG, Berasain C, et al. Fibroblast growth factors 19 and 21 in acute liver damage. Ann Transl Med. 2018;6(12):257.

- Fang L, Li F, Gu C. GDF-15: a multifunctional modulator and potential therapeutic target in Cancer. Curr Pharm Des. 2019;25(6):654–62.
- May BM, Pimentel M, Zimerman LI, Rohde LE. GDF-15 as a Biomarker in Cardiovascular Disease. Arg Bras Cardiol. 2021;116(3):494–500.
- Adela R, Banerjee SK. GDF-15 as a Target and Biomarker for Diabetes and Cardiovascular diseases: a translational prospective. J Diabetes Res. 2015;2015:490842.
- Bao X, Borne Y, Xu B, Orho-Melander M, Nilsson J, Melander O, et al. Growth differentiation factor-15 is a biomarker for all-cause mortality but less evident for cardiovascular outcomes: a prospective study. Am Heart J. 2021;234:81–9.
- Wang D, Day EA, Townsend LK, Djordjevic D, Jorgensen SB, Steinberg GR. GDF15: emerging biology and therapeutic applications for obesity and cardiometabolic disease. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2021;17(10):592–607.
- Bos DC, de Ranitz-Greven WL, de Valk HW. Advanced glycation end products, measured as skin autofluorescence and diabetes complications: a systematic review. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2011;13(7):773–9.
- Khalil SF, Mohktar MS, Ibrahim F. The theory and fundamentals of bioimpedance analysis in clinical status monitoring and diagnosis of diseases. Sens (Basel). 2014;14(6):10895–928.
- Rinella ME, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Siddiqui MS, Abdelmalek MF, Caldwell S, Barb D, Kleiner DE, Loomba R. AASLD Practice Guidance on the clinical assessment and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology. 2023;77(5):1797–835.
- Noordzij MJ, Lefrandt JD, Graaff R, Smit AJ. Skin autofluorescence and glycemic variability. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2010;12(7):581–5.
- Pereira E, Paula DP, de Araujo BP, da Fonseca MJM, Diniz M, Daliry A, et al. Advanced glycation end product: a potential biomarker for risk stratification of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in ELSA-Brasil study. World J Gastroenterol. 2021;27(29):4913–28.
- Yagmur E, Tacke F, Weiss C, Lahme B, Manns MP, Kiefer P, et al. Elevation of Nepsilon-(carboxymethyl)lysine-modified advanced glycation end products in chronic liver disease is an indicator of liver cirrhosis. Clin Biochem. 2006;39(1):39–45.
- Sebekova K, Kupcova V, Schinzel R, Heidland A. Markedly elevated levels of plasma advanced glycation end products in patients with liver cirrhosis amelioration by liver transplantation. J Hepatol. 2002;36(1):66–71.
- Leung C, Herath CB, Jia Z, Andrikopoulos S, Brown BE, Davies MJ, et al. Dietary advanced glycation end-products aggravate non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(35):8026–40.
- Hyogo H, Yamagishi S, Iwamoto K, Arihiro K, Takeuchi M, Sato T, et al. Elevated levels of serum advanced glycation end products in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;22(7):1112–9.
- Baek SJ, Eling T. Growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15): a survival protein with therapeutic potential in metabolic diseases. Pharmacol Ther. 2019;198:46–58.
- Eddy AC, Trask AJ. Growth differentiation factor-15 and its role in diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2021;57:11–8.
- Coll AP, Chen M, Taskar P, Rimmington D, Patel S, Tadross JA, et al. GDF15 mediates the effects of metformin on body weight and energy balance. Nature. 2020;578(7795):444–8.
- Zhang J, Weng W, Wang K, Lu X, Cai L, Sun J. The role of FGF21 in type 1 diabetes and its complications. Int J Biol Sci. 2018;14(9):1000–11.
- Shin MY, Kim JM, Kang YE, Kim MK, Joung KH, Lee JH, et al. Association between growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) and Cardiovascular Risk in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes Mellitus. J Korean Med Sci. 2016;31(9):1413–8.
- 44. Wu MX, Wang SH, Xie Y, Chen ZT, Guo Q, Yuan WL, et al. Interleukin-33 alleviates diabetic cardiomyopathy through regulation of endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy via insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3. J Cell Physiol. 2021;236(6):4403–19.
- Cai Q, Dozmorov M, Oh Y. IGFBP-3/IGFBP-3 receptor system as an Anti-tumor and Anti-metastatic Signaling in Cancer. Cells. 2020;9(5).
- Hou YL, Luo P, Ji GY, Chen H. Clinical significance of serum IGFBP-3 in colorectal cancer. J Clin Lab Anal. 2019;33(6):e22912.
- Burger AM, Leyland-Jones B, Banerjee K, Spyropoulos DD, Seth AK. Essential roles of IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-rP1 in breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2005;41(11):1515–27.
- Tas F, Karabulut S, Serilmez M, Ciftci R, Duranyildiz D. Clinical significance of serum insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and insulinlike growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. Tumour Biol. 2014;35(4):3125–32.

- Tas F, Bilgin E, Tastekin D, Erturk K, Duranyildiz D. Serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 levels as clinical markers for patients with lung cancer. Biomed Rep. 2016;4(5):609–14.
- Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, Becker S, Allen N, Schlehofer B, Overvad K, et al. Concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 and brain tumor risk in the European prospective investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011;20(10):2174–82.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.